

Institutional Program Management Meeting 2/16/2017

	Members		Members	Guest
P	Stephen Bentivenga	P	Susan Jaeke	
P	Chad Cotti	P	Jaime Page-Stadler	
P	Donald Hones	P	Jenny Borgmann	
P	Karl Loewenstein	A	Pat Juckem	
A	Christine Roth	P	Anne Milkovich	
P	Judith Westphal			
P	Courtney Bauder		(Student)	

P=Present; A=Absent

Agenda

1. Review minutes from last meeting
 - a. Placed resources from other universities in resources folder
 - b. Karl appointed as chair
 - c. Anne has an update from EAB about financial data which will be available much sooner - will have a demo for the group about what is available
 - d. Jaime reached out to OSA about students. Invite is out there as of the 13th. Send students to OSA to determine if they are the right fit for the group
 - e. Meeting Times - Mondays 11:30-12:30 starting February 27 every other week
 - i. Held in either Administrative Services Conference room or D212
 - f. Name of Initiative - Pick a name February 27
 - i. Institutional Program Review
 1. reviewing not managing
 2. Will be an ongoing review not one and done thing
 3. Recommendation since the group will make recommendations to administration
 - ii. Institutional Program Evaluation and Review
 - iii. Look at what other institutions have called their group and come back with an appropriate name
 1. **Anne** will come up with a list of words

2. Outline of broad steps of IPM process - Long term goal: Rollout by July 2018 with new budget model in place
 - a. Gather information
 - i. Find resources
 - ii. Read research
 - iii. Talk to other institutions
 - iv. Look at what COLS, COEHS have done
 - v. Evaluating administrative programs?
 - b. Evaluate available data - quantitative and qualitative data balanced
 - i. Identify useful data we have to use
 - ii. Identify useful data that we don't have and need
 - c. Propose criteria
 - i. Academic vs. Administrative criteria
 1. University survey of faculty/administrative - might be better surveying groups
 - a. Is it important and do I want it to?
 - b. Is it working well the way that it is?
 - ii. Widely vet criteria
 - iii. Refine criteria
 - d. Design initial framework with criteria
3. Time-frame discussion
 - a. How long do we have - time constraints - most crucial piece is that the faculty will accept and understand the criteria - will be longest piece
 - i. Institutions who have done this in a year wished they would have done a year for process and a year to run it and make decisions
 - ii. Develop short term (month) goals and long term goals (over year)
 1. Design criteria would be a short term goal
 - iii. Budget Process concerns over Strategic Plan concerns
 1. We might need to put something forward before prepared to
 2. Should have until new budget model in July 2018 - might be a good long term goal point
4. Meetings with individuals from other campuses who have done program review
 - a. NIU chair/steering committee?
 - i. Karl contacted NIU and a 1/3 of the committee would like to meet with group
5. Research assignments
 - a. Choose a success or failure
 - i. Look at the list and divvy it up to the list of members - **Jenny** by eod tomorrow
 1. Boise State - **Donald**
 2. Great Falls - **Anne** (<http://www.gfcmsu.edu/about/prioritization/>)
 3. Nebraska (Omaha)- **Stephen**
 - b. Prepare a short summary for next meeting

c. Draft content for the website - **Anne** for next meeting