

UW-OSHKOSH BACCALAUREATE SOCIAL WORK PROGRAM

ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES

LAST COMPLETED ON 9/18/2019

Form AS4 (B) Duplicate and expand as needed. Provide table(s) to support self -study narrative addressing the *accreditation standards* below.

This form is used to assist the COA in the evaluation of the program's compliance with the accreditation standards below:

- 4.0.2** The program provides its most recent year of summary data and outcomes for the assessment of each of the identified competencies, specifying the percentage of students achieving program benchmarks for each program option.
- 4.0.3** The program uses Form AS 4(B) and/or Form AS 4(M) to report its most recent assessment outcomes for each program option to constituents and the public on its website and routinely up-dates (minimally every 2 years) its findings.

All Council on Social Work Education programs measure and report student learning outcomes. Students are assessed on their mastery of the competencies that comprise the accreditation standards of the Council on Social Work Education. These competencies are dimensions of social work practice that all social workers are expected to master during their professional training. A measurement benchmark is set by the social work programs for each competency. An assessment score at or above that benchmark is considered by the program to represent mastery of that particular competency.

**UW-OSHKOSH BACHELOR OF SOCIAL WORK PROGRAM
ASSESSMENT OF STUDENT LEARNING OUTCOMES**

LAST COMPLETED ON 9/18/2019

COMPETENCY	COMPETENCY BENCHMARK	PERCENTAGE OF STUDENTS ACHIEVING BENCHMARK
		Field Students: N=46 Classroom Students: N=39
Competency 1: Demonstrate Ethical and Professional Behavior	87% of students are rated as competent	Field Supervisors: 93% Competent Field Faculty 98% Competent Field Students: 92% Competent Courses: 100%Competent
Competency 2: Engage Diversity and Difference in Practice	87% of students are rated as competent	Field Supervisors: 95% Competent Field Faculty 99% Competent Field Students: 91% Competent Courses: 100%Competent
Competency 3: Advance Human Rights and Social, Economic, and Environmental Justice	87% of students are rated as competent	Field Supervisors: 92% Competent Field Faculty 100% Competent Field Students: 82% Competent Courses: 90%Competent
Competency 4: Engage In Practice-informed Research and Research-informed Practice	87% of students are rated as competent	Field Supervisors: 86% Competent Field Faculty 99% Competent Field Students: 69% Competent Courses: 59%Competent
Competency 5: Engage in Policy Practice	87% of students are rated as competent	Field Supervisors: 90% Competent Field Faculty 100% Competent Field Students: 82% Competent Courses: 98%Competent
Competency 6: Engage with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	87% of students are rated as competent	Field Supervisors: 94% Competent Field Faculty 100% Competent Field Students: 91% Competent Courses: NA
Competency 7: Assess Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	87% of students are rated as competent	Field Supervisors: 92% Competent Field Faculty 100% Competent Field Students: 84% Competent Courses: NA
Competency 8: Intervene with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	87% of students are rated as competent	Field Supervisors: 90% Competent Field Faculty 100% Competent Field Students: 86% Competent Courses: 93%Competent
Competency 9: Evaluate Practice with Individuals, Families, Groups, Organizations, and Communities	87% of students are rated as competent	Field Supervisors: 93% Competent Field Faculty 100% Competent Field Students: 82% Competent Courses: 54%Competent

