



Association of American Colleges and Universities

FALL 2008 VALUE METARUBRIC EVALUATION

Your feedback will be used to revise the metarubrics.
For more information, please contact the VALUE Initiative Manager, Wende Morgaine at wendemmm@gmail.com

Which Metarubric are you evaluating? _____

Your Institution _____

Your Discipline _____

Have you reviewed eportfolios before this session? (please circle one:) Yes No

Please comment on the criteria of the rubric:

If you get stuck on what to comment on, you could consider these questions:

- Are there any criteria that are missing?
- Given your understanding of this subject, are the criteria listed in this rubric appropriate?
- Were the criteria understandable?

Please comment on the levels of the rubric:

If you get stuck on what to comment on, you could consider these questions:

- Did the levels (1, 2, 3, 4) of the rubric cover the range of quality of the student work you reviewed?
- Did you review work that was far beyond 4 or far below 1?
- Were there any levels of typical student competency that were missed by the rubric—for instance, would you have prefer one level between, say, levels three and four?
- Do the four performance levels provide adequately for the type of eportfolios you use on your campus? Does Level 1 reflect expectations for freshmen performance? Does Level 4 reflect expectations for seniors?

Please comment on the performance descriptors of the rubric:

If you get stuck on what to comment on, you could consider these questions:

- Is the language of the performance descriptors understandable and useful?
- Do the performance descriptors for each level reflect consistent, progressively more complex and challenging performance expectations?
- From this experience in scoring, can you suggest better language for any of the performance descriptors?

Any other comments:
