

**STUDENT ALLOCATIONS COMMITTEE MINUTES**

**November 14th, 2006**

**Members**

Joe Smith (S)	Present	Thomas Pedersen (Advisor)	Present
Jeremiah Slinde (Controller)	Present	Doug Roubidoux (Ac. Staff)	Present
Robbie Hinz (S)	Present	Al Folker (Ac. Staff)	Present
Alex Christenson (S)	Present	Chris Edwards (Fac)	Present
Yurgita Downham (S)	Present	Tom Scofield (Fac)	Present

**Others Present**

Present: 10                      Absent: 0

The committee was called to order by Joe Smith at 3:18

Minutes: The minutes were approved as submitted. Robbie (Doug)

**Chairperson's Report**

Joseph Smith

- ∞ All budget information was sent out to student organizations.
- ∞ Today is the deadline for appeal allocations committee decisions
- ∞ Rainbow Alliance for Hope is appealing their budget decisions
- ∞ The appeal process is as follows:
  1. Student organization make an appeal to the committee;
  2. The committee hears the appeal and then makes a final decision for a budgeted amount;
  3. If a student organization still feels that the committee is unjustified in its decision, it can appeal to the Student Appeals Committee of OSA;
  4. This process is contained in Article 12 of the PPM (12.01.3).

**Controller's Report**

Jeremiah Slinde

- ∞ I spoke with a representative from the NTSO concerning budgeting for this year as well as one time expenses stemming from their memo from Joe
- ∞ I also spoke with a representative from Speaker Series concerning their revised budget
- ∞ Now that hearings have been completed, I am going to be doing some organization audits to look for suspicious activity

**Advisor's Report**

Thomas Pedersen

- ∞ I will be meeting with Jeremiah and Joe to discuss the semester and things to work on for next semester

**New Business**

- ∞ Approval of Total Seg Fee Request
  - Requests are up approximately 1.5% from last year
  - From a committee standpoint, a request increase of 0% would use \$41,000 of our reserves, a 1% increase would result in using \$33,000, 2%, \$25,000 and 3%, 17,000
  - While decided how much of an increase to request from the Seg Fees committee, some discussion included:
    - The large amount of reserves that SAC currently holds and the fact that SAC is a clearing house
    - The fact that as more students attend the university, more

seg fee dollars will be available, but will be matched with an increase in student organization requests

- Additional stipulations for student organizations, such as the necessity of an advisor to accompany students on all trips as well as the use of fleet vehicles, will cause increases in the amount of money student organizations request
- Based on the discussion, the committee moved to request a 0% increase from the Segregated Fees Committee. Toms S. (Doug). Passes 8-0-0

### Old Business

- ∞ No Old Business

### Discussion topics

- ∞ Non-Student Policies (7.09 in the PPM)
  - Based on the discussion from the previous week, Joe provided the committee with new wording to try and resolve some of the issues surrounding student events and the attendance of non-students. The proposal included striking the words “movies” and “speakers” from 7.09.
  - Further discussion on the issue included:
    - How much a non-student should be charged
    - Who (persons or groups) are exempted from this policy
    - The PPM should qualify how groups adhere or do not adhere to the policy.
  - A point was raised that the qualifications for adhering to the process is filtered out during budget hearings
  - A point was raised that clarifications should be made between non-students including faculty staff or community members

The meeting was adjourned at 4:42, Alex (Doug)

Respectfully submitted,

Jeremiah Slinde